CXC - 2680 Copy 5 of 5

21 November 1961

MENORANDUM FOR : Chief, Development Branch, DPD-DD/P

SUBJECT : Control of Test Aircraft, OKCART

 It is essential that aircraft being used for test purposes be under the direct control of the responsible engineering test agency. While I have previously recommended that the control of test aircraft be assigned to the RAD Field Office is only one of three possibilities. The other two are, obviously, LAC or the Bass Commander. In the case of the first two aircraft. the test requirements of full time utilization distate that no one but the test team of LAC personnel have control, under the monitorship of the RAD Field Office. In the case of number four and following sireraft, our primary test function will be development of the recommismance sub-systems. These aircraft will also be utilized for aircres training. It is my contention, based on extensive historical experience, that for most effective and rapid system development, the testing requirement must have priority and, to assure this, control of the test aircraft must be given to the Agency responsible for system development. It is not considered that all six alreraft will be utilised full time for test purposes but that portion of this group which can be used to edvantage to expedite development should be so used. Pilots for these test missions will of course be provided by the Base Commander, regardlass of the assignment of test responsibility.

- 2. The three possible courses available which will meet the requirement of assignment of aircraft control with responsibility are:
 - (a) Give LAC supervisory engineering responsibility for recommaissence and other sub-systems test, with scheduling authority for aircraft as required.
 - (b) Give the DPD Field Office the supervisory engineering responsibility for payload sub-system test and commensurate scheduling authority.
 - (c) Give the Base Commander the supervisory engineering responsibility for payload sub-system test as well as air-erew training responsibility. Provide him with staff members

NO CHANGE IN CLASS.

C1 DECLASSIFIED

CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S COLON

NEXT REVIEW DATE:

AUTH: HR 70-2

DATE: OF REVIEWER:

OTHER PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF T

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/10/30 TOTA-RDP81B00879R001000070035-0

OXC-2680 Page 2

which will enable him to discharge the test responsibility and charge him to give priority to testing.

- 3. In every case of system development I have observed, engineering testing has virtually come to a standstill when the aircraft were turned over to the using command. The pressure to complete transition and operational training subjugates the remaining test requirements. As a result, systems have been put into operational status without adequate operational capability. While I do not advocate testing equipment to death or holding up a program due to minor failures, at least a minimum operational capability must be achieved prior to operational control of all test vehicles.
- 4. My conclusions are, that for most effective sub-system and over-all system development, the PAD Field Office is the most logical for assignment of test responsibility and test aircraft control would most suitably placed therein. Payload scheduling only will not suffice for test purposes. Further discussion is recommended.

	SIGNED	25X1A
istribution:		

Bistribution: 142-CH/DB/DFD 3-ACH/DFD 4-DB/DFD 5-31/DFD

DFD/DB rew

25X1A